United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,174	09/01/2005	Ralf Herwig	13027.60USWO	5243
23552 MERCHANT	7590 10/15/2007 & GOULD PC		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 2903			NATARAJAN, MEERA	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/519,174	HERWIG, RALF		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Meera Natarajan	1643		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. timely filed mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Sectors</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Experience.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solon is required if the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/18/2005</u> .	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,174

Art Unit: 1643

ۇ ئ

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 recites "peroration" (line 3). Does applicant mean "perforation" of said macrophage cells? Claim 2 recites incorrect spelling of "antibody/ies", correct spelling is antibody/s. Appropriate spelling corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said staining of PSA" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. "PSA" is not recited in the dependent claims 4 or 1. Correction is required.
- 5. Claim 1, 2, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a.) Claim 1 recites "statistical evaluation". It is unclear what is meant by statistical evaluation. Does Applicant mean evaluation of numbers, diagrams, fluorescence? Clarification is required.
 - b.) Claim 2 recites "wherein the <u>use</u> of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), cytokeratin and/or epithelial membrane antigen as said selected antibody/ies". It is unclear what "use" Applicant is referring to. The claim language is hard to

Application/Control Number: 10/519,174

Art Unit: 1643

follow and unclear. Does Applicant mean the selected antibody will be used in the intracellular staining of said cells in Claim 1? Applicant needs to clarify language of the claim to clearly point out the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- c.) Claim 3 recites "wherein histogram analysis of the isotype control and staining after carrying out flow cytometry". The claim language is unclear and seems to be missing a few words. If Applicants' intention is to perform "histogram analysis of the isotype control and staining" after performing flow cytometry, the claim language should be re-written to distinctly claim that which applicant regards as his invention.
- d.) Claim 6 recites an "analysis arrangement" of carrying out said method of Claim 1. It is unclear what is meant by the terms "analysis arrangement" and the specification does not provide an adequate definition. The claim limitations read on a kit comprising means for performing the method of Claim 1 and will therefore be examined as such.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandt et al. (Cancer Research, Vol. 56, pp.4556-4561, 1996).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,174

Art Unit: 1643

į. 1

- 8. The claims are drawn to a method and a composition for determination of characteristics and/or classification of circulating macrophages and/or peripheral mononuclear blood cells comprising the steps of taking a whole blood sample subjecting it to gradient centrifugation for isolating macrophages and/or peripheral mononuclear blood cells, perforating said cells and staining with a PSA antibody, followed by flow cytometric analysis for statistical evaluation of the cell contents.
- Brandt et al. teach isolation of prostate-derived single cells and cell clusters from 9. human peripheral blood. Brandt et al. teach a cytokeratin immunomagnetic method of isolating PSA-positive epithelial cells from the circulating blood of prostate cancer patients as a means to analyze genetic and biochemical characteristics of such cells for clinical relevance of prostate cancer cell identity and risk of metastasis (see p.4558 last paragaraph and p.4561 last paragraph). Peripheral blood samples from patients were gradient centrifuged (see materials and method p.4556, right column, lines 30-31) and permeabilized using saponin (see materials and method p.4556 line 49) after which they were stained with antibodies directed to PSA, CD45, or CD14 (see p.4557 right column, lines 9-13). Flow cytometric analysis was then performed to sort the cells (see Fig. 1, p. 4558). The reported isolation method yielded prostate-derived cells or clusters of them from prostate cancer diagnosed patients (see Abstract). Specifically flow cytometric analysis revealed PSA-positive stained leukocytes (which include monocytes and macrophages) in the peripheral blood of patients (see p.4557, right column, 2nd full paragraph). The reference teaches each and every limitation of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,174 Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-6 are rejected.

11. No claim is allowed.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meera Natarajan whose telephone number is 571-270-

3058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30AM-6:00PM,

ALT. Friday. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MN

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.

CUDERUSORY PATENT EXAMINER